

CANADIAN JOURNAL OF NURSING LEADERSHIP

Guide for Reviewers

Position Papers, Innovations and other Non-research Papers

TOPIC

1. Is the topic sufficiently important, relevant and interesting to justify attention to it?
2. Is the topic dealt with logically; the ideas developed systematically; and the conclusions reasonable given the former?
3. Is previous work relevant to the topic included; is it comprehensive including both classic and recent material?
4. Does the paper advance understanding of the topic, introduce alternative ways of thinking about it, include new arguments or suggest new directions for future work?

STRUCTURE

1. Is the paper clearly written; is it well organized with appropriate headings for different sections?
2. Is it an appropriate length; could it be tightened and shortened without losing essential ideas; would it benefit from more extensive discussion of some aspects?
3. If there are tables or figures, do they contribute to the understanding of the content; are there places where a table or figure would be helpful?

RECOMMENDATION:

Title _____

Author _____

Publish as is _____

Revise based on comments and publish _____

Completely rework (because the idea is worthwhile) and reconsider for publishing _____

Do not publish _____

REPORT TO AUTHOR

Please provide written comments for the author on this sheet.

REPORT TO EDITOR

Please provide any written comments for the editor **only** on this sheet. These will not be provided to the author. It is not necessary to use this sheet if all the comments can be provided to the author.

